

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Daniel John Riley

v.

Civil Action No. 10-cv-218

Mark Alford, et al

ORDER

All active service district judges in this district are recused from presiding over this case.

Accordingly, the case shall be referred to the District of Maine; sitting by designation.

The recusal of all of the active service district judges in this court give rise to an “emergency” with respect to the referred case as that term is used in 28 U.S.C. § 636 (f). I therefore concur in the assignment of a magistrate judge from the designated district to perform the duties specified in 28 U.S.C. §636(a) - (c).

SO ORDERED.

Date: August 3, 2010

/s/ Steven J. McAuliffe
Steven J. McAuliffe
Chief Judge

cc: Sven D. Wiberg, Esq.
Clerk, USDC - District of Maine

CONCURRING ORDER

I concur and designate and assign George Z. Singal, a district judge in active service to preside over the above-captioned case. As Judge Singal has presided over matters related to the facts underlying this complaint, I have determined that he is the appropriate judge to preside over this case in order to avoid duplication of effort.

I further concur that an emergency exists as specified above and that for the duration of this case Magistrate Judge John H. Rich III is assigned to perform such duties under 28 U.S.C. § 636 (a) - (c) as may be assigned to him/her by the district judge to whom this case is assigned.

Date: August 6, 2010

/s/John A. Woodcock, Jr.
Chief United States District Judge
District of Maine

cc: Sven D. Wiberg, Esq.
Clerk, USDC- District of New Hampshire